

**SUSTAINABLE USE OF BIODIVERSITY:  
A REVIEW OF CURRENT RESEARCH IN THE UK**

**BioSTRAT Project**

**Edited by Allan Watt<sup>1</sup> and Richard Ferris<sup>2</sup>**

*with contributions from*

***Kevin Charman, Davy McCracken, Hubert Rees and Kevin Watts***

---

<sup>1</sup> Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Hill of Brathens, Glassel Banchory AB31 4BW, UK.  
adw@ceh.ac.uk

<sup>2</sup> The Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Monkstone House, City Road, Peterborough, Cambs. PE1 1JY, UK. richard.ferris@jncc.gov.uk

## Background

This review is being carried out to enable BioSTRAT, a EU-funded project in support of EPBRS, to compile a European review of the topic prior to the next meeting of the EPBRS in Germany in May (and the e-conference that will precede it in March-April).

Although a comprehensive review of the topic would be useful, this document should be regarded as an introduction to the discussion, outlining where there has been research and implicitly or explicitly identifying where gaps exist. It should be noted that the final outcome of this process will be a short set of recommendations from the German EPBRS meeting.

The topic of the German EPBRS meeting is *Shaping European Biodiversity Research for a Sustainable Europe*. The organisers acknowledge that this is a huge topic and intend to focus on “aspects of sustainable use of biodiversity in the wider EU countryside, which means mainly outside Natura2000 areas”. They seek to link the reviews to the Action Plan of the EU COM (2006) 216 on “Halting the loss of biodiversity until 2010 and beyond”, proposing three priority research areas:

- A. High value farmland (and forest) areas
- B. CAP implementation on biodiversity, including aspects of cross-compliance
- C. Indicators and monitoring schemes

And also suggest the following:

- D. Mainstreaming biodiversity aspects into different strategies and plans: Rural development plans, Less favoured area regime, Strategic Environmental Assessment
- E. Genetic diversity of crop varieties, livestock breeds and races
- F. Risk areas for soil biodiversity
- G. Reduction of main pollutions and its impact on biodiversity
- H. Inclusion of biodiversity aspects into flood risk management plans
- I. Development of cohesion and structural funds towards directly or indirectly benefiting biodiversity
- J. Participation of civil society in Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and other processes
- K. Ecological coherence and functioning and its inclusion into spatial planning

The following is a preliminary assessment, focussing on the “priority” areas proposed. Note that the review is intended to cover work done in the UK but it has been expanded slightly to include collaborative work including UK researchers and research done outside the Europe by UK researchers.

## **SUSTAINABLE USE OF BIODIVERSITY**

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

This review outlines some of the research relevant to the sustainable use of biodiversity either done in the UK or done, usually collaboratively, by UK scientists elsewhere in Europe or outside Europe. The research follows the suggestions of the organisers of the next meeting of the EPBRS to address particular topics. Some of these relate very closely to the sustainable use of biodiversity but others relate much more to the impact of agricultural and other practices on biodiversity.

### **2. DESCRIPTION OF MAIN FINDINGS OF THE SELECTED STUDIES**

#### **A. High value farmland and forestry**

Agricultural intensification is known to be a major pressure on biodiversity (Petit & Elbersen, 2006) although few studies have monitored its impact over time. One notable exception is the monitoring of insects at Rothamsted Experimental Station since 1933 (Southwood *et al.*, 2003). More recently, regular surveys of the UK countryside have provided evidence of change (Black *et al.*, 2003; Cooper *et al.*, 2003; Haines-Young *et al.*, 2003).

Bird species have been notably affected by agricultural intensification (Donald *et al.*, 2002; Donald *et al.*, 2006) and their decline is probably due to a decline in the availability of invertebrate and seed food (Wilson *et al.*, 1999). Plant diversity also shows a decline linked to agricultural intensification (Hodgson *et al.*, 2005). As concern for the impact of agricultural practice on biodiversity as grown, so too has the appreciation of low-intensity farming systems (Bignal & McCracken, 1996) and the way in which particular agricultural practices influence biodiversity.

Agricultural practices, such as drainage and weed management, are key to the survival of many species (Cooper *et al.*, 2005; Killeen, 1998; Mauchline *et al.*, 2005). The type of field margin, for example, influences bumblebee diversity (Pywell *et al.*, 2006): bumblebee abundance in a two-month period in summer was significantly higher on pollen and nectar margins (86 +/- 14 bees per 100 m) compared with wildflower margins (43 +/- 14), mature grass margins (6 +/- 14), recently sown grass margins (8 +/- 4) and the cereal crop (0.2 +/- 0.1). The plant species present in the field margins also affects bumblebee presence (Pywell *et al.*, 2005b). Field margins have less of an influence on other taxa such as Coleoptera and spiders, which benefit more from hedgerows (Pywell *et al.*, 2005a), although recent research highlights the potential for managing field margins to promote beetle diversity (Woodcock *et al.*, 2007). Another feature of agricultural landscapes in the UK, green lanes (unmetalled tracks between fields, either below or above field level, with grass banks, hedgerows or stone walls on either side) promote butterfly diversity (Dover *et al.*, 2000). More generally, habitat heterogeneity appear to be the key to promoting biodiversity conservation in agroecosystems (Benton *et al.*, 2003; French & Picozzi, 2002). Agricultural practices, such as manure application, can also promote dispersal of high conservation value species (Edwards & Younger, 2006).

Although most attention has been placed on cropping systems, high nature value grazing systems should not be overlooked (Evans *et al.*, 2003). Research on grazing has included studies on birds (Loe *et al.*, 2007), voles (Evans *et al.*, 2006), carabids (Cole *et al.*, 2006; Dennis *et al.*, 2004) and other invertebrates (Tallowin *et al.*, 2005; Woodcock *et al.*, 2005).

Research in the UK and elsewhere has increasingly shown how agricultural practice affects individual species or groups of species. However, the development of high-nature-conservation-value farming systems requires a broad understanding of the influence of farming systems and their impact on a range of taxa (McCracken & Bignal, 1998).

Until now the concept of High Nature Value (HNV) has been mainly applied to farming areas. The concept of HNV farming in Europe originated in work undertaken in the early 1990s and in reports produced at the time by the Institute for European Environmental Policy (IEEP), the Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC) and the European Forum for Nature Conservation and Pastoralism (EFNCP) (see, for example, Baldock *et al.*, 1993; Beaufoy *et al.*, 1994; Bignal & McCracken, 1996; 2000). The first published study who addressed this at a European wide scale was *The Nature of Farming* (Beaufoy *et al.* 1994; Bignal & McCracken 1996, 2000). This study showed for 9 countries spread over Europe that particular farming systems were important in maintaining nature value over much of the wider European countryside and it gave the broad characteristics of HNV farming systems including their broad geographic locations. It concluded that most HNV farms were located in the more marginal areas and on the poorer lands, these included mountain areas, marshlands and the more arid areas of Europe. Differences in data availability dictated that different approaches were taken in this *Nature of Farming* study across all nine countries but in general this involved drawing information from a combination of sources, such as maps of specific agricultural land use or the extent of Less Favoured Areas, agricultural statistics, the location of semi-natural habitats and/or known characteristics of specific systems. It meant that it was not able to map all HNV areas in a consistent way.

Further refinement and development of the approach taken in the *Nature of Farming* was necessary in order to clarifying what type of indicator(s) may be best to help establish where HNV systems occur across Europe and how to target these in European rural development policy. In a tender contract with the European Environment Agency a follow up study was then performed in which a first HNV farmland indicator for the whole EU was developed (Andersen *et al.*, 2003). In this study HNV farmland areas were identified using CORINE land cover, Farming data from FADN and bird distribution data. In this study High Nature Value farmland was defined as those areas in Europe where agriculture is a major (usually the dominant) land use and where that agriculture supports, or is associated with, either a high species and habitat diversity, or the presence of species of European conservation concern, or both. From this, 3 types of HNV farmland were distinguished:

- Type 1: Farmland with a high proportion of semi-natural vegetation;
- Type 2: Farmland dominated by low intensity agriculture or a mosaic of semi-natural and cultivated land and small-scale features;

- Type 3: Farmland supporting rare species or a high proportion of European or World population;

Based on the Andersen et al. study, the EEA and UNEP published a Joint Message (EEA 2004), presenting a preliminary map of HNV farmland for the EU (EEA, 2004), and from this the IRENA indicator 26 was also developed (EEA, 2005). In both publications the HNV farmland indicator used was based on the CORINE Land Cover (CLC) data. Even though CLC is the best EU wide source of land cover data, Andersen et al. (2003) highlighted the many limitations of using such an approach to identifying High Nature Value Farmland. For example, the minimal unit with the CLC is 25 ha which means that many small scale features of HNV are not recognised or identified. In addition, CLC provides no indication as to the condition of any of the farmland habitats recognised nor indeed as to whether those habitats are still under any form of farmland management. Given the potential difficulties of operationalising the HNV approach across Member States, DG Agriculture is currently (February 2007) funding a study of HNV indicators with a view to provide clearer guidance to member states as to what is meant by HNV, how they can go about recognising HNV areas, features and farms and how they could consider evaluating whether HNV farms (and forests) are receiving appropriate support under the new Rural Development Plans. This DG Agriculture study is led by the Institute of European Environmental Policy and involves input from a range of European experts.

Commercial forestry has led to the loss of areas of high conservation value forest. Research is, however, underway to restore habitats damaged by under-planting with non-native species such as Atlantic oakwoods (Truscott et al., 2004).

Commercial forestry has led to the loss of areas of high conservation value forest, but has begun to restore native woodlands such as Atlantic oakwoods and lowland mixed broadleaved woodlands, that were damaged by the creation of plantations using non-native species. Research is, however, underway to restore habitats damaged by under-planting with non-native species such as Atlantic oakwoods (Truscott *et al.*, 2004), and to evaluate different techniques for the removal of non-native species to secure and enhance ecological processes (Thompson and Hope, 2005).

Plantation forests are not necessarily poor in biodiversity. Research comparing plantation with native forests, for example, has shown that plantations support a range of species assemblages of high conservation value (Eggleton *et al.*, 2005); Humphrey et al., 2003; Humphrey et al., 2000; Humphrey et al., 2002). For birds, tree age and species composition is important in determining their abundance and diversity (Donald et al., 1998).

Although the management of a field or an individual forest stand is important for biodiversity, a broader perspective is important. In an agricultural context, the farmland mosaic is important for species such as butterflies (Ouin et al., 2004). Taking a landscape view, the pattern of woodlands in the landscape is important in conserving both forest and non-forest species (Hope et al., 2006).

UK researchers have also been active outside Europe in, for example, identifying the type of forest cover in agricultural landscapes that benefits biodiversity (Harvey et al.,

2006) and the value of different types of forest plantations for biodiversity (Chey et al., 1997; Lawton et al., 1998; Stork et al., 2003; Watt et al., 2002).

Clearly, the promotion of high nature value agriculture and forestry means that environmental (and perhaps social) goals are prioritised over short-term economic goals. Nevertheless, there may be situations where high biodiversity leads to agricultural benefit. In a study of herbage production, for example, yield was greater in hay meadows grown from seed mixes of 25-41 species compared with 6-17 species (Bullock et al., 2001). In another study, the risk of bovine tuberculosis was found to be lower on farms considered to be favourable to wildlife conservation (Mathews et al., 2006). Agricultural yields are, however, more often likely to be reduced by practices that promote biodiversity (Marriott et al., 2004). Nevertheless, more generally, there is evidence that the value of ecosystem services diminishes with biodiversity and ecosystem loss (Balmford et al., 2002; Turner et al., 2003). Furthermore, novel practices, such as genetically modified herbicide tolerant crops, may offer benefits to biodiversity within intensive systems (Pidgeon et al., 2004) as may the development of organic agriculture (Cobb et al., 1999; Wilson et al., 1997).

Although this review focuses on agricultural and forest habitats, other managed habitats can contribute significantly to biodiversity, e.g. urban gardens (Gaston et al., 2005), although these environments may be subject to heavy pollution (Fountain & Hopkin, 2004). And although agricultural and forest issues have been largely considered separately above, silvoarable systems have and will form an important environment in many parts of Europe (Eichhorn et al., 2006).

## **B. Influence of national plans of CAP implementation on biodiversity, including aspects of cross-compliance**

In the UK, targeted habitat creation under agri-environment schemes has been shown to benefit butterflies, particularly the use of pollen and nectar seed mixtures under the Countryside Stewardship Scheme (Pywell et al., 2004). In contrast, a five year study of the Arable Stewardship Pilot Scheme in the UK showed that most bird species studied were not beneficially affected (Stevens & Bradbury, 2006).

Despite concern about the implementation of agri-environment schemes (Berendse et al., 2004; Critchley et al., 2004; Kleijn et al., 2006; Kleijn & Sutherland, 2003), including the set-aside schemes of the 1990s (Critchley & Fowbert, 2000; Firbank et al., 2003), many researchers conclude that the development of such schemes can benefit biodiversity (Bradbury et al., 2000; Vickery et al., 2004). The known benefit of “winter bird crops” or over-winter stubble fields and their management, for example, could be incorporated in such schemes (Buckingham et al., 1999; Henderson et al., 2004; Whittingham et al., 2006) and agri-environment schemes could provide a policy basis for conserving specific habitats (Franco & Sutherland, 2004) or for habitat restoration (Thompson et al., 1999; Wilcox, 1998). It has also been argued that schemes designed to encompass large congruent areas are more likely to be successful than a series of smaller habitat patches (Whittingham, 2007). Agri-environment schemes together with provisions under the Water Framework Directive could also, for example, benefit farmland birds by reducing the impact of diffuse pollution (Bradbury & Kirby, 2006).

Research on the wider economic (Boardman et al., 2003; Evans et al., 2003), social (Hounscome et al., 2006; Pretty & Smith, 2004; Siebert et al., 2006) and policy (Winter, 2000) implications of agri-environment schemes is also needed. The behaviour and attitudes of farmers and other land managers towards the implementation of agricultural policies (Lobley & Potter, 1998; Macdonald & Johnson, 2000; Morris, 2006; Morris & Evans, 2004; Morris & Potter, 1995; Siebert et al., 2006; Skerratt & Dent, 1996; Wilson & Hart, 2000, 2001) are important in understanding the successful uptake of such policies. Examples include national (Fish et al., 2003), cross-European studies (Siebert et al., 2006) and transatlantic comparisons (Robinson, 2006). Participation in current schemes may, for example, be influenced by management history (Riley, 2006). Agri-environment schemes also need to be considered in comparison to alternative approaches to biodiversity conservation (Mattison & Norris, 2005; Wood & Lenne, 2005), such as protected areas and game management (Robertson et al., 2001; Stoate, 2002), and placed in a regional context (Tilzey, 2000). Furthermore, potentially conflicting goals and practices cannot be ignored (Banks & Marsden, 2000; Hodgson et al., 2005; Rickard, 2004; Young et al., 2005). There certainly remains a clear need to avoid the misuse of “environmental objectives... to provide financial support to farms that are of intrinsically low biodiversity and nature conservation value” (Signal, 1998).

### **C. Indicators and monitoring schemes**

Previous meetings of EPBRS have considered monitoring and indicators in detail. A few studies may however, be mentioned because their intention was to assess the value of agri-environment schemes and other initiatives designed to promote high value agriculture or forestry. These include mollusc monitoring (Killeen, 1998), the biodiversity associated with agri-environment schemes (Pywell *et al.*, 2006) and forest biodiversity (Dudley *et al.*, 2005; Humphrey *et al.*, 1999; Jukes *et al.*, 2002).

In keeping with the ‘open’ nature of the marine ecosystem, approaches to assessing the wider (in-combination or cumulative) consequences of human activities are being addressed by various UK governmental and research establishments in partnership with industry. This is in response to domestic and international regulatory needs and, notably (since this encapsulates the ‘direction of travel’), the developing EU Marine Strategy. Marine monitoring along with the use of supporting indicators has, for many years, accompanied the majority of licensed activities, for example, those of the construction and extractive industries. The present scientific challenge is to enhance the capability to integrate locality- or sectoral-specific assessments of the consequences for marine biodiversity with those conducted on a broader scale, exemplified by the sea-wide monitoring of fish stocks and communities. It may be summarised as the search for harmony between ‘top-down’ and ‘bottom-up’ approaches. Examples of its practical implications include the search for biodiversity measures which overcome sampling and biogeographical dependencies, the requirement for continuity in sampling and analytical practices especially for long-term monitoring, and recognition of the importance of reference points in space or time (e.g. Hardman-Mountford *et al.*, 2005; Leonard *et al.*, 2006; Rees *et al.*, 2006; Rogers & Greenaway, 2005).

#### **D. Mainstreaming biodiversity aspects into different strategies and plans: Rural development plans, Less favoured area regime, Strategic Environmental Assessment**

Several examples are given above on how biodiversity aspects are being mainstreamed in agricultural and other policies. Declines in grassland biodiversity, for example, are being addressed through rural policies (Marriott et al., 2004).

Not only are native pine forests (which are included in UK Biodiversity Action Plan targets and the delivery of Scottish Forestry Strategy and Scottish Biodiversity Strategy objectives) important for biodiversity but they also contribute to rural development and economic prosperity (McIntosh, 2006). Management of wild herbivores, which are themselves an important influence on biodiversity (Hester *et al.*, 2000; Kirby, 2001; Stewart, 2001), are an important source of income to local communities (Gordon et al., 2004).

Strategic Environmental Assessment has included consideration of the impact of road development on lowland heathland, the Dartford warbler (*Sylvia undata*) and the sand lizard (*Lacerta agilis*) (Treweek et al., 1998), and the farming of Atlantic salmon (Thompson et al., 1995).

#### **E. Genetic diversity of crop varieties, livestock breeds and races**

Research on wheat diversity in the UK has shown no evidence of a narrowing of genetic diversity since the 1930s (Donini *et al.*, 2000): modern varieties encompass most of the diversity found in earlier decades. The genetic diversity of barley in the UK has also been shown to have been maintained in recent decades, although there is a concern that a reduction in the number of independent breeding programmes would have a negative impact on the maintenance of genetic diversity (Koebner *et al.*, 2003). At a global scale, current approaches to on-farm conservation are thought to be inadequate (Wood & Lenne, 1997).

The conservation of livestock breeds is critical for the provision of resources for the future of agriculture, especially in the developing world (Hall & Bradley, 1995). Recent years have seen advances in the methods used to investigate of genetic diversity in livestock breeds (Freeman *et al.*, 2006), to assess the risk of extinction of particular breeds (Gandini *et al.*, 2004) and to use naturally-occurring genetic variation in breeding programmes (Bishop & Woolliams, 2004). An assessment of the extinction risk of local breeds of pig, for example, identified priorities for cryopreservation (Ollivier *et al.*, 2005).

Related socio-economic research includes the development of methods for economic valuation of farm animal genetic resources (Drucker *et al.*, 2001). The number of livestock breeds in an area has been found to be related to the size of the human population and its genetic diversity (Hall, 1996).

Research outside Europe has included work on genetic diversity of Asian water buffalo (Barker *et al.*, 1997), pigeonpea *Cajanus cajan*, an important subsistence crop in India (Burns *et al.*, 2001) and on timber species such as Caribbean pine (Zheng & Ennos, 1999), cedars and mahoganies (Cavers *et al.*, 2005a; Cavers *et al.*, 2005b; Cavers *et al.*, 2003a, 2003b; Lemes *et al.*, 2003; Lowe *et al.*, 2003; Newton *et al.*, 1996; Newton *et al.*, 1993).

Priorities include the development of better approaches for on-farm conservation of genetic resources (Wood & Lenne, 1997).

#### **F. Risk areas for soil biodiversity**

There are many sources of risk to soil biodiversity and its functioning, including GM plants (Cowgill et al., 2002; Lilley et al., 2006; Mulder et al., 2006), nitrogen deposition (Bragazza et al., 2006), phthalates (Cartwright et al., 2000), zinc and other metals (Davis et al., 2004; Ellis et al., 2001), herbicides (Bromilow, 2004), insecticides (Boucard et al., 2004), oil (Bundy et al., 2004) and other contaminants (Semple et al., 2003), soil tillage practices (Holland, 2004), overgrazing (Sansom, 1999) and forest fragmentation (Sousa et al., 2006). All components of soil biodiversity are at risk although those thought to be most at risk are species-poor macrofaunal shredders of organic matter, soil bioturbators, specialized bacteria such as nitrifiers and nitrogen fixers, and fungiforming mycorrhizas (Brussaard et al., 1997). Methods to measure risks to soil biodiversity include bait lamina to assess the effects of metals on the abundance and diversity of decomposers such as earthworms, isopods, molluscs, myriapods, springtails and mites (Filzek et al., 2004), soil biomarker test systems (Weeks et al., 2004), earthworms for assessing ecological function (Smith et al., 2005), soil quality indices to assess the success of the remediation of contaminated soils (Dawson et al., 2007), Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy (Scullion et al., 2003) and various biological assays (Paton et al., 2005; Tandy et al., 2005). Decision support systems have been developed to guide management of risks to soil biodiversity (Hossack et al., 2004).

#### **G. Reduction of main pollutions and its impact on biodiversity**

The impact of pollutants on soil biodiversity was discussed above. The major source of pollution to terrestrial ecosystems in Europe is nitrogen deposition (Bobbink et al., 1998; Britton & Fisher, 2007; Dalton & Brand-Hardy, 2003; Jones et al., 2004; Phoenix et al., 2006). Along with sulphur pollution, there has been significant research on the concept of critical loads (Britton & Fisher, 2007; Bull et al., 2001; Ling, 2003; Phoenix et al., 2006). Other pollutants include atmospheric metal pollution (Branquinho et al., 1999) and ozone (Davison & Barnes, 1998).

In many cases, other factors influence the impact of pollutants on biodiversity. Grazing, for example, can amplify the impact of nitrogen pollution in montane ecosystems (Hartley & Mitchell, 2005; van der Wal et al., 2003). Climate change is also likely to interact with pollution in influencing biodiversity (Britton et al., 2001).

Sulphur pollution has caused widespread acidification of freshwater lake ecosystems in Europe but this is a decreasing threat (Evans et al., 2001; Ferrier et al., 2003; Helliwell et al., 2003). Nitrogen and phosphorous pollution are now the most significant sources of pollution to freshwater (Ferrier et al., 2001; Helliwell et al., 2001; Johns, 1996; Mainstone & Parr, 2002). The main pathways of these pollutants have moved from point to non-point sources (diffuse pollution) since the late 1960s (Heathwaite et al., 2000; Langan et al., 1997) and recent research focuses on the generation, transport and impact of diffuse pollutants (Ferrier et al., 2005). Other freshwater pollutants include lead (Farmer et al., 1997), silicon (Neal et al., 2005), pesticides (Harper et al., 1977) and suspended solids (Ferrier et al., 2001). The critical

load concept has also been applied to freshwater ecosystems (Battarbee et al., 1996; Kernan et al., 2001)

Nitrogen and other pollutants also affect marine ecosystems (Wade et al., 2002). Untreated sewage is a declining source of pollution in Europe but anti-fouling paint and other sources of pollution continue to cause concern (Matthiessen & Law, 2002) and some pharmaceutical compounds remain persistent despite wastewater treatment (Roberts & Thomas, 2006). Heavy-metals affect marine life (Daka & Hawkins, 2006) but their concentrations in sediments have declined over the last 30 years (Duquesne et al., 2006). Oil spills remain a major and very visible threat to marine biodiversity (Law & Kelly, 2004; Long & Holdway, 2002; Velando et al., 2005). Exploitation and habitat loss are, however, seen as more important drivers of biodiversity loss in marine ecosystems (Dulvy et al., 2003). Nevertheless, long-term studies of the impact of polluted areas are very uncommon (Hawkins et al., 2002).

#### **H. Inclusion of biodiversity aspects into flood risk management plans**

Flood risk management and river restoration have been given a new impetus from the Water Framework and Habitats Directives (Newson & Large, 2006) and it is becoming increasingly apparent that flood risk management and biodiversity are closely linked. Overgrazing, for example, has a negative impact on both flood risk and biodiversity (Sansom, 1999). Tree shelter belts may influence flood risk, providing opportunities for the conservation and restoration of biodiversity (Carroll et al., 2004).

#### **I. Development of cohesion and structural funds towards directly or indirectly benefiting biodiversity**

There is no research in this area in the UK as far as the authors are aware.

#### **J. Participation of civil society in Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and other processes**

There is increasing participation of civil society in the management of marine protected areas (Jones & Burgess, 2005), monitoring of biodiversity (Danielsen et al., 2005), and other conservation-related initiatives (Wood, 2005). Research on this topic has included studies on attitudes towards participation (Davos et al., 2002), the implications of local participation for national strategy (Gillingham & Lee, 1999) and its effectiveness (Goodwin, 1998; Goodwin, 1999). The latter has included research on participation in Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) (Hartley & Wood, 2005; Snell & Cowell, 2006) and the use of multicriteria analysis in EIA (Balasubramaniam & Voulvoulis, 2005). There have also been studies on specific aspects of conservation (Twyman, 2000), stakeholder analysis on particular conflicts (Redpath et al., 2004) and conflicts generally (Young et al., 2005). The issue of farmers' involvement in agri-environment schemes is discussed above.

UK researchers have been active in research on or projects on the participation of local communities in initiatives related to the conservation and/or sustainable use of biodiversity or EIA in, for example, Ghana (Wiggins et al., 2004), Nepal (Bajracharya et al., 2006), Nicaragua (Hawkesworth & Perez, 2003), Cameroon (Malleson, 2002; Sharpe, 1998), Botswana (Davies, 2001), Belize (Oreszczyn &

Lane, 2000), Tanzania (Few, 2000) Nigeria and the Niger Delta (Adomokai & Sheate, 2004).

### **K. Ecological coherence and functioning and its inclusion into spatial planning**

This is currently a particularly active area for research. Several national agencies have research programmes focusing on ecological connectivity, and developing tools to support planning decisions, e.g. Forest Research's habitat network programme (Watts et al., 2005b), collaboration with the Countryside Council for Wales (Watts et al., 2005a) to develop a woodland habitat network for Wales in support of the Wales Woodland Strategy; and work within Natural England (Catchpole, 2006).

The Bioforum project included a major consideration of ecological (social and economic) aspects relevant to spatial planning (Nowicki *et al.*, 2005). The placement of coastal defence structures, for example, can have a profound impact on biodiversity (Airoldi *et al.*, 2005).

## **3. CONCLUSIONS**

Despite the research done on the topics covered by this review, much more research is needed. We need to know much more, in particular, to be able to make accurate predictions. Most research (although not all) is small scale and limited in the factors it considers. We need much more broad based, process oriented research so that we understand whole systems. Very little research undertaken incorporates social and economic analysis. Land managers respond to a wide variety of factors in coming to their decisions. Our research needs to reflect this integrated, interdisciplinary context.

Despite the breadth of this review and the number of studies referred to, this report should be considered as a partial assessment of the subject area. There are many more research topics under the subject of sustainable use of biodiversity than covered by the remit of this review.

## **4. REFERENCES**

- Adomokai, R. & Sheate, W.R. (2004) Community participation and environmental decision-making in the Niger Delta. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, **24**, 495-518.
- Airoldi, L., Abbiati, M., Beck, M.W., Hawkins, S.J., Jonsson, P.R., Martin, D., Moschella, P.S., Sundelof, A., Thompson, R.C., & Aberg, P. (2005) An ecological perspective on the deployment and design of low-crested and other hard coastal defence structures. *Coastal Engineering*, **52**, 1073-1087.
- Bajracharya, S.B., Furley, P.A., & Newton, A.C. (2006) Impacts of community-based conservation on local communities in the annapurna conservation area, nepal. *Biodiversity And Conservation*, **15**, 2765-2786.
- Balasubramaniam, A. & Voulvoulis, N. (2005) The appropriateness of multicriteria analysis in environmental decision-making problems. *Environmental Technology*, **26**, 951-962.
- Balmford, A., Bruner, A., Cooper, P., Costanza, R., Farber, S., Green, R.E., Jenkins, M., Jefferiss, P., Jessamy, V., Madden, J., Munro, K., Myers, N., Naeem, S.,

- Paavola, J., Rayment, M., Rosendo, S., Roughgarden, J., Trumper, K., & Turner, R.K. (2002) Ecology - Economic reasons for conserving wild nature. *Science*, **297**, 950-953.
- Banks, J. & Marsden, T. (2000) Integrating agri-environment policy farming systems and rural development: Tir Cymen in Wales. *Sociologia Ruralis*, **40**, 466-+.
- Barker, J.S.F., Moore, S.S., Hetzel, D.J.S., Evans, D., Tan, S.G., & Byrne, K. (1997) Genetic diversity of Asian water buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*): Microsatellite variation and a comparison with protein-coding loci. *Animal Genetics*, **28**, 103-115.
- Battarbee, R.W., Allott, T.E.H., Juggins, S., Kreiser, A.M., Curtis, C., & Harriman, R. (1996) Critical loads of acidity to surface waters: An empirical diatom-based palaeolimnological model. *Ambio*, **25**, 366-369.
- Benton, T.G., Vickery, J.A., & Wilson, J.D. (2003) Farmland biodiversity: is habitat heterogeneity the key? *Trends In Ecology & Evolution*, **18**, 182-188.
- Berendse, F., Chamberlain, D., Kleijn, D., & Schekkerman, H. (2004) Declining biodiversity in agricultural landscapes and the effectiveness of agri-environment schemes. *Ambio*, **33**, 499-502.
- Bignal, E.M. (1998) Using an ecological understanding of farmland to reconcile nature conservation requirements, EU agriculture policy and world trade agreements. *Journal Of Applied Ecology*, **35**, 949-954.
- Bignal, E.M. & McCracken, D.I. (1996) Low-intensity farming systems in the conservation of the countryside. *Journal Of Applied Ecology*, **33**, 413-424.
- Bishop, S.C. & Woolliams, J.A. (2004) Genetic approaches and technologies for improving the sustainability of livestock productions. *Journal Of The Science Of Food And Agriculture*, **84**, 911-919.
- Black, H.I.J., Parekh, N.R., Chaplow, J.S., Monson, F., Watkins, J., Creamer, R., Potter, E.D., Poskitt, J.M., Rowland, P., Ainsworth, G., & Hornung, M. (2003) Assessing soil biodiversity across Great Britain: national trends in the occurrence of heterotrophic bacteria and invertebrates in soil. *Journal Of Environmental Management*, **67**, 255-266.
- Boardman, J., Poesen, J., & Evans, R. (2003) Socio-economic factors in soil erosion and conservation. *Environmental Science & Policy*, **6**, 1-6.
- Bobbink, R., Hornung, M., & Roelofs, J.G.M. (1998) The effects of air-borne nitrogen pollutants on species diversity in natural and semi-natural European vegetation. *Journal Of Ecology*, **86**, 717-738.
- Boucard, T.K., Parry, J., Jones, K., & Semple, K.T. (2004) Effects of organophosphate and synthetic pyrethroid sheep dip formulations on protozoan survival and bacterial survival and growth. *Fems Microbiology Ecology*, **47**, 121-127.
- Bradbury, R.B. & Kirby, W.B. (2006) Farmland birds and resource protection in the UK: Cross-cutting solutions for multi-functional farming? *Biological Conservation*, **129**, 530-542.
- Bradbury, R.B., Kyrkos, A., Morris, A.J., Clark, S.C., Perkins, A.J., & Wilson, J.D. (2000) Habitat associations and breeding success of yellowhammers on lowland farmland. *Journal Of Applied Ecology*, **37**, 789-805.
- Bragazza, L., Freeman, C., Jones, T., Rydin, H., Limpens, J., Fenner, N., Ellis, T., Gerdol, R., Hajek, M., Lacumin, P., Kutnar, L., Tahvanainen, T., & Toberman, H. (2006) Atmospheric nitrogen deposition promotes carbon loss from peat bogs. *Proceedings Of The National Academy Of Sciences Of The United States Of America*, **103**, 19386-19389.

- Branquinho, C., Catarino, F., Brown, D.H., Pereira, M.J., & Soares, A. (1999) Improving the use of lichens as biomonitors of atmospheric metal pollution. *Science Of The Total Environment*, **232**, 67-77.
- Britton, A.J. & Fisher, J.M. (2007) Interactive effects of nitrogen deposition, fire and grazing on diversity and composition of low-alpine prostrate *Calluna vulgaris* heathland. *Journal Of Applied Ecology*, **44**, 125-135.
- Britton, A.J., Pakeman, R.J., Carey, P.D., & Marrs, R.H. (2001) Impacts of climate, management and nitrogen deposition on the dynamics of lowland heathland. *Journal Of Vegetation Science*, **12**, 797-806.
- Bromilow, R.H. (2004) Paraquat and sustainable agriculture. *Pest Management Science*, **60**, 340-349.
- Brussaard, L., Behan-Pelletier, V.M., Bignell, D.E., Brown, V.K., Didden, W., Folgarait, P., Fragoso, C., Freckman, D.W., Gupta, V., Hattori, T., Hawksworth, D.L., Klopatek, C., Lavelle, P., Malloch, D.W., Rusek, J., Soderstrom, B., Tiedje, J.M., & Virginia, R.A. (1997) Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning in soil. *Ambio*, **26**, 563-570.
- Buckingham, D.L., Evans, A.D., Morris, A.J., Orsman, C.J., & Yaxley, R. (1999) Use of set-aside land in winter by declining farmland bird species in the UK. *Bird Study*, **46**, 157-169.
- Bull, K.R., Hall, J.R., Cooper, J., Metcalfe, S.E., Morton, D., Ulyett, J., Warr, T.L., & Whyatt, J.D. (2001) Assessing potential impacts on biodiversity using critical loads. *Water Air And Soil Pollution*, **130**, 1229-1234.
- Bullock, J.M., Pywell, R.F., Burke, M.J.W., & Walker, K.J. (2001) Restoration of biodiversity enhances agricultural production. *Ecology Letters*, **4**, 185-189.
- Bundy, J.G., Paton, G.I., & Campbell, C.D. (2004) Combined microbial community level and single species biosensor responses to monitor recovery of oil polluted soil. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, **36**, 1149-1159.
- Burns, M.J., Edwards, K.J., Newbury, H.J., Ford-Lloyd, B.V., & Baggott, C.D. (2001) Development of simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers for the assessment of gene flow and genetic diversity in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*). *Molecular Ecology Notes*, **1**, 283-285.
- Carroll, Z.L., Bird, S.B., Emmett, B.A., Reynolds, B., & Sinclair, F.L. (2004) Can tree shelterbelts on agricultural land reduce flood risk? *Soil Use And Management*, **20**, 357-359.
- Cartwright, C.D., Thompson, I.P., & Burns, R.G. (2000) Degradation and impact of phthalate plasticizers on soil microbial communities. *Environmental Toxicology And Chemistry*, **19**, 1253-1261.
- Cavers, S., Degen, B., Caron, H., Lemes, M.R., Margis, R., Salgueiro, F., & Lowe, A.J. (2005a) Optimal sampling strategy for estimation of spatial genetic structure in tree populations. *Heredity*, **95**, 281-289.
- Cavers, S., Navarro, C., Hopkins, R., Ennos, R.A., & Lowe, A.J. (2005b) Regional and population-scale influences on genetic diversity partitioning within costan Rican populations of the pioneer tree *Vochysia ferruginea* mart. *Silvae Genetica*, **54**, 258-264.
- Cavers, S., Navarro, C., & Lowe, A.J. (2003a) Chloroplast DNA phylogeography reveals colonization history of a Neotropical tree, *Cedrela odorata* L., in Mesoamerica. *Molecular Ecology*, **12**, 1451-1460.
- Cavers, S., Navarro, C., & Lowe, A.J. (2003b) A combination of molecular markers identifies evolutionarily significant units in *Cedrela odorata* L. (Meliaceae) in Costa Rica. *Conservation Genetics*, **4**, 571-580.

- Chey, V.K., Holloway, J.D., & Speight, M.R. (1997) Diversity of moths in forest plantations and natural forests in Sabah. *Bulletin Of Entomological Research*, **87**, 371-385.
- Cobb, D., Feber, R., Hopkins, A., Stockdale, L., O'Riordan, T., Clements, B., Firbank, L., Goulding, K., Jarvis, S., & Macdonald, D. (1999) Integrating the environmental and economic consequences of converting to organic agriculture: evidence from a case study. *Land Use Policy*, **16**, 207-221.
- Cole, L.J., Pollock, M.L., Robertson, D., Holland, J.P., & McCracken, D.I. (2006) Carabid (Coleoptera) assemblages in the Scottish uplands: the influence of sheep grazing on ecological structure. *Entomologica Fennica*, **17**, 229-240.
- Cooper, A., McCann, T., Davidson, R., & Foster, G.N. (2005) Vegetation, water beetles and habitat isolation in abandoned lowland bog drains and peat pits. *Aquatic Conservation-Marine And Freshwater Ecosystems*, **15**, 175-188.
- Cooper, A., McCann, T., & Meharg, M.J. (2003) Sampling broad habitat change to assess biodiversity conservation action in Northern Ireland. *Journal Of Environmental Management*, **67**, 283-290.
- Cowgill, S.E., Bardgett, R.D., Kiezebrink, D.T., & Atkinson, H.J. (2002) The effect of transgenic nematode resistance on non-target organisms in the potato rhizosphere. *Journal Of Applied Ecology*, **39**, 915-923.
- Critchley, C.N.R., Burke, M.J.W., & Stevens, D.P. (2004) Conservation of lowland semi-natural grasslands in the UK: a review of botanical monitoring results from agri-environment schemes. *Biological Conservation*, **115**, 263-278.
- Critchley, C.N.R. & Fowbert, J.A. (2000) Development of vegetation on set-aside land for up to nine years from a national perspective. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment*, **79**, 159-174.
- Daka, E.R. & Hawkins, S.J. (2006) Interactive effects of copper, cadmium and lead on zinc accumulation in the gastropod mollusc *Littorina saxatilis*. *Water Air And Soil Pollution*, **171**, 19-28.
- Dalton, H. & Brand-Hardy, R. (2003) Nitrogen: the essential public enemy. *Journal Of Applied Ecology*, **40**, 771-781.
- Danielsen, F., Burgess, N.D., & Balmford, A. (2005) Monitoring matters: examining the potential of locally-based approaches. *Biodiversity And Conservation*, **14**, 2507-2542.
- Davies, A. (2001) What silence knows - Planning, public participation and environmental values. *Environmental Values*, **10**, 77-102.
- Davis, M.R.H., Zhao, F.J., & McGrath, S.P. (2004) Pollution-induced community tolerance of soil microbes in response to a zinc gradient. *Environmental Toxicology And Chemistry*, **23**, 2665-2672.
- Davison, A.W. & Barnes, J.D. (1998) Effects of ozone on wild plants. *New Phytologist*, **139**, 135-151.
- Davos, C.A., Jones, P.J.S., Side, J.C., & Siakavara, K. (2002) Attitudes toward participation in cooperative coastal management: Four European case studies. *Coastal Management*, **30**, 209-220.
- Dawson, J.J.C., Godsiffe, E.J., Thompson, I.P., Ralebitso-Senior, T.K., Killham, K.S., & Paton, G.I. (2007) Application of biological indicators to assess recovery of hydrocarbon impacted soils. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, **39**, 164-177.
- Dennis, P., Doering, J., Stockan, J.A., Jones, J.R., Rees, M.E., Vale, J.E., & Sibbald, A.R. (2004) Consequences for biodiversity of reducing inputs to upland temperate pastures: effects on beetles (Coleoptera) of cessation of nitrogen

- fertilizer application and reductions in stocking rates of sheep. *Grass And Forage Science*, **59**, 121-135.
- Donald, P.F., Fuller, R.J., Evans, A.D., & Gough, S.J. (1998) Effects of forest management and grazing on breeding bird communities in plantations of broadleaved and coniferous trees in western England. *Biological Conservation*, **85**, 183-197.
- Donald, P.F., Pisano, G., Rayment, M.D., & Pain, D.J. (2002) The Common Agricultural Policy, EU enlargement and the conservation of Europe's farmland birds. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment*, **89**, 167-182.
- Donald, P.F., Sanderson, F.J., Burfield, I.J., & van Bommel, F.P.J. (2006) Further evidence of continent-wide impacts of agricultural intensification on European farmland birds, 1990-2000. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment*, **116**, 189-196.
- Donini, P., Law, J.R., Koebner, R.M.D., Reeves, J.C., & Cooke, R.J. (2000) Temporal trends in the diversity of UK wheat. *Theoretical And Applied Genetics*, **100**, 912-917.
- Dover, J., Sparks, T., Clarke, S., Gobbett, K., & Glossop, S. (2000) Linear features and butterflies: the importance of green lanes. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment*, **80**, 227-242.
- Drucker, A.G., Gomez, V., & Anderson, S. (2001) The economic valuation of farm animal genetic resources: a survey of available methods. *Ecological Economics*, **36**, 1-18.
- Dudley, N., Baldock, D., Nasi, R., & Stolton, S. (2005) Measuring biodiversity and sustainable management in forests and agricultural landscapes. *Philosophical Transactions Of The Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*, **360**, 457-470.
- Dulvy, N.K., Sadovy, Y., & Reynolds, J.D. (2003) Extinction vulnerability in marine populations. *Fish And Fisheries*, **4**, 25-64.
- Duquesne, S., Newton, L.C., Giusti, L., Marriott, S.B., Stark, H.J., & Bird, D.J. (2006) Evidence for declining levels of heavy-metals in the Severn Estuary and Bristol Channel, UK and their spatial distribution in sediments. *Environmental Pollution*, **143**, 187-196.
- Edwards, A.R. & Younger, A. (2006) The dispersal of traditionally managed hay meadow plants via farmyard manure application. *Seed Science Research*, **16**, 137-147.
- Eggleton, P., Vanbergen, A.J., Jones, D.T., Lambert, M.C., Rockett, C., Hammond, P.M., Beccaloni, J., Marriott, D., Ross, E., & Giusti, A. (2005) Assemblages of soil macrofauna across a Scottish land-use intensification gradient: influences of habitat quality, heterogeneity and area. *Journal Of Applied Ecology*, **42**, 1153-1164.
- Eichhorn, M.P., Paris, P., Herzog, F., Incoll, L.D., Liagre, F., Mantzanas, K., Mayus, M., Moreno, G., Papanastasis, V.P., Pilbeam, D.J., Pisanelli, A., & Dupraz, C. (2006) Silvoarable systems in Europe - past, present and future prospects. *Agroforestry Systems*, **67**, 29-50.
- Ellis, R.J., Neish, B., Trett, M.W., Best, J.G., Weightman, A.J., Morgan, P., & Fry, J.C. (2001) Comparison of microbial and meiofaunal community analyses for determining impact of heavy metal contamination. *Journal Of Microbiological Methods*, **45**, 171-185.
- Evans, C.D., Cullen, J.M., Alewell, C., Kopacek, J., Marchetto, A., Moldan, F., Prechtel, A., Rogora, M., Vesely, J., & Wright, R. (2001) Recovery from

- acidification in European surface waters. *Hydrology And Earth System Sciences*, **5**, 283-297.
- Evans, D.M., Redpath, S.M., Elston, D.A., Evans, S.A., Mitchell, R.J., & Dennis, P. (2006) To graze or not to graze? Sheep, voles, forestry and nature conservation in the British uplands. *Journal Of Applied Ecology*, **43**, 499-505.
- Evans, N., Gaskell, P., & Winter, M. (2003) Re-assessing agrarian policy and practice in local environmental management: the case of beef cattle. *Land Use Policy*, **20**, 231-242.
- Farmer, J.G., Mackenzie, A.B., Sugden, C.L., Edgar, P.J., & Eades, L.J. (1997) A comparison of the historical lead pollution records in peat and freshwater lake sediments from central Scotland. *Water Air And Soil Pollution*, **100**, 253-270.
- Ferrier, R.C., D'Arcy, B.J., MacDonald, J., & Aitken, M. (2005) Diffuse pollution - What is the nature of the problem? *Water And Environment Journal*, **19**, 361-366.
- Ferrier, R.C., Edwards, A.C., Hirst, D., Littlewood, I.G., Watts, C.D., & Morris, R. (2001) Water quality of Scottish rivers: spatial and temporal trends. *Science Of The Total Environment*, **265**, 327-342.
- Ferrier, R.C., Wright, R.F., Jenkins, A., & Barth, H. (2003) Predicting recovery of acidified freshwaters in Europe and Canada: an introduction. *Hydrology And Earth System Sciences*, **7**, 431-435.
- Few, R. (2000) Conservation, participation, and power: Protected-area planning in the coastal zone of Belize. *Journal Of Planning Education And Research*, **19**, 401-408.
- Filzek, P.D.B., Spurgeon, D.J., Broll, G., Svendsen, C., Hankard, P.K., Parekh, N., Stubberud, H.E., & Weeks, J.M. (2004) Metal effects on soil invertebrate feeding: Measurements using the bait lamina method. *Ecotoxicology*, **13**, 807-816.
- Firbank, L.G., Smart, S.M., Crabb, J., Critchley, C.N.R., Fowbert, J.W., Fuller, R.J., Gladders, P., Green, D.B., Henderson, I., & Hill, M.O. (2003) Agronomic and ecological costs and benefits of set-aside in England. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment*, **95**, 73-85.
- Fish, R., Seymour, S., & Watkins, C. (2003) Conserving English landscapes: land managers and agri-environmental policy. *Environment And Planning A*, **35**, 19-41.
- Fountain, M.T. & Hopkin, S.P. (2004) Biodiversity of Collembola in urban soils and the use of *Folsomia candida* to assess soil 'quality'. *Ecotoxicology*, **13**, 555-572.
- Franco, A.M.A. & Sutherland, W.J. (2004) Modelling the foraging habitat selection of lesser kestrels: conservation implications of European Agricultural Policies. *Biological Conservation*, **120**, 63-74.
- Freeman, A.R., Bradley, D.G., Nagda, S., Gibson, J.P., & Hanotte, O. (2006) Combination of multiple microsatellite data sets to investigate genetic diversity and admixture of domestic cattle. *Animal Genetics*, **37**, 1-9.
- French, D.D. & Picozzi, N. (2002) 'Functional groups' of bird species, biodiversity and landscapes in Scotland. *Journal Of Biogeography*, **29**, 231-259.
- Gandini, G.C., Ollivier, L., Danell, B., Distl, O., Georgoudis, A., Groeneveld, E., Martyniuk, E., van Arendonk, J.A.M., & Woolliams, J.A. (2004) Criteria to assess the degree of endangerment of livestock breeds in Europe. *Livestock Production Science*, **91**, 173-182.

- Gaston, K.J., Smith, R.M., Thompson, K., & Warren, P.H. (2005) Urban domestic gardens (II): experimental tests of methods for increasing biodiversity. *Biodiversity And Conservation*, **14**, 395-413.
- Gillingham, S. & Lee, P.C. (1999) The impact of wildlife-related benefits on the conservation attitudes of local people around the Selous Game Reserve, Tanzania. *Environmental Conservation*, **26**, 218-228.
- Goodwin, P. (1998) 'Hired hands' or 'local voice': understandings and experience of local participation in conservation. *Transactions Of The Institute Of British Geographers*, **23**, 481-499.
- Goodwin, P.P. (1999) The end of consensus? The impact of participatory initiatives on conceptions of conservation and the countryside in the United Kingdom. *Environment And Planning D-Society & Space*, **17**, 383-401.
- Gordon, I.J., Hester, A.J., & Festa-Bianchet, M. (2004) The management of wild large herbivores to meet economic, conservation and environmental objectives. *Journal Of Applied Ecology*, **41**, 1021-1031.
- Haines-Young, R., Barr, C.J., Firbank, L.G., Furse, M., Howard, D.C., McGowan, G., Petit, S., Smart, S.M., & Watkins, J.W. (2003) Changing landscapes, habitats and vegetation diversity across Great Britain. *Journal Of Environmental Management*, **67**, 267-281.
- Hall, S.J.G. (1996) Human ecology and the evolution of livestock. *Anthrozoos*, **9**, 81-84.
- Hall, S.J.G. & Bradley, D.G. (1995) Conserving Livestock Breed Biodiversity. *Trends In Ecology & Evolution*, **10**, 267-270.
- Hardman-Mountford, N.J., Allen, J.I., Frost, M.T., Hawkins, S.J., Kendall, M.A., Mieszkowska, N., Richardson, K.A., & Somerfield, P.J. (2005) Diagnostic monitoring of a changing environment: An alternative UK perspective. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, **50**, 1463-1471.
- Harper, D.B., Smith, R.V., & Gotto, D.M. (1977) Bhc Residues Of Domestic Origin - Significant Factor In Pollution Of Freshwater In Northern-Ireland. *Environmental Pollution*, **12**, 223-233.
- Hartley, N. & Wood, C. (2005) Public participation in environmental impact assessment - implementing the Aarhus Convention. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, **25**, 319-340.
- Hartley, S.E. & Mitchell, R.J. (2005) Manipulation of nutrients and grazing levels on heather moorland: changes in Calluna dominance and consequences for community composition. *Journal Of Ecology*, **93**, 990-1004.
- Harvey, C.A., Medina, A., Sanchez, D.M., Vilchez, S., Hernandez, B., Saenz, J.C., Maes, J.M., Casanoves, F., & Sinclair, F.L. (2006) Patterns of animal diversity in different forms of tree cover in agricultural landscapes. *Ecological Applications*, **16**, 1986-1999.
- Hawkesworth, S. & Perez, J.D.G. (2003) Potentials and constraints of the farmer-to-farmer programme for environmental protection in Nicaragua. *Land Degradation & Development*, **14**, 175-188.
- Hawkins, S.J., Gibbs, P.E., Pope, N.D., Burt, G.R., Chesman, B.S., Bray, S., Proud, S.V., Spence, S.K., Southward, A.J., Southward, G.A., & Langston, W.J. (2002) Recovery of polluted ecosystems: the case for long-term studies. *Marine Environmental Research*, **54**, 215-222.
- Heathwaite, L., Sharpley, A., & Gburek, W. (2000) A conceptual approach for integrating phosphorus and nitrogen management at watershed scales. *Journal Of Environmental Quality*, **29**, 158-166.

- Helliwell, R.C., Ferrier, R.C., & Kernan, M.R. (2001) Interaction of nitrogen deposition and land use on soil and water quality in Scotland: issues of spatial variability and scale. *Science Of The Total Environment*, **265**, 51-63.
- Helliwell, R.C., Jenkins, A., Ferrier, R.C., & Cosby, B.J. (2003) Modelling the recovery of surface water chemistry and the ecological implications in the British uplands. *Hydrology And Earth System Sciences*, **7**, 456-466.
- Henderson, I.G., Vickery, J.A., & Carter, N. (2004) The use of winter bird crops by farmland birds in lowland England. *Biological Conservation*, **118**, 21-32.
- Hester, A.J., Edenius, L., Buttenschon, R.M., & Kuiters, A.T. (2000) Interactions between forests and herbivores: the role of controlled grazing experiments. *Forestry*, **73**, 381-391.
- Hodgson, J.G., Grime, J.P., Wilson, P.J., Thompson, K., & Band, S.R. (2005) The impacts of agricultural change (1963-2003) on the grassland flora of Central England: processes and prospects. *Basic And Applied Ecology*, **6**, 107-118.
- Holland, J.M. (2004) The environmental consequences of adopting conservation tillage in Europe: reviewing the evidence. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment*, **103**, 1-25.
- Hope, J.C.E., Humphrey, J.W., & Stone, D. (2006) Modelling the effects of forest landscape dynamics on focal species in Glen Affric, northern Scotland. *Forestry*, **79**, 293-302.
- Hossack, I., Robertson, D., Tucker, P., Hursthouse, A., & Fyfe, C. (2004) A GIS and web-based decision support tool for the management of urban soils. *Cybernetics And Systems*, **35**, 499-509.
- Hounsome, B., Edwards, R.T., & Edwards-Jones, G. (2006) A note on the effect of farmer mental health on adoption: The case of agri-environment schemes. *Agricultural Systems*, **91**, 229-241.
- Humphrey, J.W., Hawes, C., Peace, A.J., Ferris-Kaan, R., & Jukes, M.R. (1999) Relationships between insect diversity and habitat characteristics in plantation forests. *Forest Ecology And Management*, **113**, 11-21.
- Johnes, P.J. (1996) Evaluation and management of the impact of land use change on the nitrogen and phosphorus load delivered to surface waters: The export coefficient modelling approach. *Journal Of Hydrology*, **183**, 323-349.
- Jones, M.L.M., Wallace, H.L., Norris, D., Brittain, S.A., Haria, S., Jones, R.E., Rhind, P.M., Reynolds, B.R., & Emmett, B.A. (2004) Changes in vegetation and soil characteristics in coastal sand dunes along a gradient of atmospheric nitrogen deposition. *Plant Biology*, **6**, 598-605.
- Jones, P.J.S. & Burgess, J. (2005) Building partnership capacity for the collaborative management of marine protected areas in the UK: A preliminary analysis. *Journal Of Environmental Management*, **77**, 227-243.
- Jukes, M.R., Ferris, R., & Peace, A.J. (2002) The influence of stand structure and composition on diversity of canopy Coleoptera in coniferous plantations in Britain. *Forest Ecology And Management*, **163**, 27-41.
- Kernan, M., Hall, J., Ullyet, J., & Allott, T. (2001) Variation in freshwater critical loads across two upland catchments in the UK: Implications for catchment scale management. *Water Air And Soil Pollution*, **130**, 1169-1174.
- Killeen, I.J. (1998) An assessment of the mollusc faunas of grazing marsh ditches using numerical indices, and their application for monitoring and conservation. *Journal Of Conchology*, 101-112.
- Kirby, K.J. (2001) The impact of deer on the ground flora of British broadleaved woodland. *Forestry*, **74**, 219-229.

- Kleijn, D., Baquero, R.A., Clough, Y., Diaz, M., De Esteban, J., Fernandez, F., Gabriel, D., Herzog, F., Holzschuh, A., Johl, R., Knop, E., Kruess, A., Marshall, E.J.P., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Tschamtker, T., Verhulst, J., West, T.M., & Yela, J.L. (2006) Mixed biodiversity benefits of agri-environment schemes in five European countries. *Ecology Letters*, **9**, 243-254.
- Kleijn, D. & Sutherland, W.J. (2003) How effective are European agri-environment schemes in conserving and promoting biodiversity? *Journal Of Applied Ecology*, **40**, 947-969.
- Koebner, R.M.D., Donini, P., Reeves, J.C., Cooke, R.J., & Law, J.R. (2003) Temporal flux in the morphological and molecular diversity of UK barley. *Theoretical And Applied Genetics*, **106**, 550-558.
- Langan, S.J., Wade, A.J., Smart, R., Edwards, A.C., Soulsby, C., Billett, M.F., Jarvie, H.P., Cresser, M.S., Owen, R., & Ferrier, R.C. (1997) The prediction and management of water quality in a relatively unpolluted major Scottish catchment: Current issues and experimental approaches. *Science Of The Total Environment*, **194**, 419-435.
- Law, R.J. & Kelly, C. (2004) The impact of the "Sea Empress" oil spill. *Aquatic Living Resources*, **17**, 389-394.
- Lawton, J.H., Bignell, D.E., Bolton, B., Bloemers, G.F., Eggleton, P., Hammond, P.M., Hodda, M., Holt, R.D., Larsen, T.B., Mawdsley, N.A., Stork, N.E., Srivastava, D.S., & Watt, A.D. (1998) Biodiversity inventories, indicator taxa and effects of habitat modification in tropical forest. *Nature*, **391**, 72-76.
- Lemes, M.R., Gribel, R., Proctor, J., & Grattapaglia, D. (2003) Population genetic structure of mahogany (*Swietenia macrophylla* King, Meliaceae) across the Brazilian Amazon, based on variation at microsatellite loci: implications for conservation. *Molecular Ecology*, **12**, 2875-2883.
- Leonard, D.R.P., Clarke, K.R., Somerfield, P.J., & Warwick, R.M. (2006) The application of an indicator based on taxonomic distinctness for UK marine biodiversity assessments. *Journal Of Environmental Management*, **78**, 52-62.
- Lilley, A.K., Bailey, M.J., Cartwright, C., Turner, S.L., & Hirsch, P.R. (2006) Life in earth: the impact of GM plants on soil ecology? *Trends In Biotechnology*, **24**, 9-14.
- Ling, K.A. (2003) Using environmental and growth characteristics of plants to detect long-term changes in response to atmospheric pollution: some examples from British beechwoods. *Science Of The Total Environment*, **310**, 203-210.
- Lobley, M. & Potter, C. (1998) Environmental stewardship in UK agriculture: A comparison of the Environmentally Sensitive Area programme and the Countryside Stewardship Scheme in South East England. *Geoforum*, **29**, 413-432.
- Loe, L.E., Myrsterud, A., Stien, A., Steen, H., Evans, D.M., & Austrheim, G. (2007) Positive short-term effects of sheep grazing on the alpine avifauna. *Biology Letters*, **3**, 109-111.
- Long, S.M. & Holdway, D.A. (2002) Acute toxicity of crude and dispersed oil to *Octopus pallidus* (Hoyle, 1885) hatchlings. *Water Research*, **36**, 2769-2776.
- Lowe, A.J., Jourde, B., Breyne, P., Colpaert, N., Navarro, C., Wilson, J., & Cavers, S. (2003) Fine-scale genetic structure and gene flow within Costa Rican populations of mahogany (*Swietenia macrophylla*). *Heredity*, **90**, 268-275.
- Macdonald, D.W. & Johnson, P.J. (2000) Farmers and the custody of the countryside: trends in loss and conservation of non-productive habitats 1981-1998. *Biological Conservation*, **94**, 221-234.

- Mainstone, C.P. & Parr, W. (2002) Phosphorus in rivers - ecology and management. *Science Of The Total Environment*, **282**, 25-47.
- Malleson, R. (2002) Changing perspectives on forests, people and 'development': Reflections on the case of the Korup Forest. *Ids Bulletin-Institute Of Development Studies*, **33**, 94-+.
- Marriott, C.A., Fothergill, M., Jeangros, B., Scotton, M., & Louault, F. (2004) Long-term impacts of extensification of grassland management on biodiversity and productivity in upland areas. A review. *Agronomie*, **24**, 447-462.
- Mathews, F., Lovett, L., Rushton, S., & Macdonald, D.W. (2006) Bovine tuberculosis in cattle: Reduced risk on wildlife-friendly farms. *Biology Letters*, **2**, 271-274.
- Matthiessen, P. & Law, R.J. (2002) Contaminants and their effects on estuarine and coastal organisms in the United Kingdom in the late twentieth century. *Environmental Pollution*, **120**, 739-757.
- Mattison, E.H.A. & Norris, K. (2005) Bridging the gaps between agricultural policy, land-use and biodiversity. *Trends In Ecology & Evolution*, **20**, 610-616.
- Mauchline, A.L., Watson, S.J., Brown, V.K., & Froud-Williams, R.J. (2005) Post-dispersal seed predation of non-target weeds in arable crops. *Weed Research*, **45**, 157-164.
- McCracken, D.I. & Bignal, E.M. (1998) Applying the results of ecological studies to land-use policies and practices. *Journal Of Applied Ecology*, **35**, 961-967.
- McIntosh, B. (2006) Native pinewoods in Scotland: perspectives on policy and management. *Forestry*, **79**, 303-307.
- Morris, C. (2006) Negotiating the boundary between state-led and farmer approaches to knowing nature: An analysis of UK agri-environment schemes. *Geoforum*, **37**, 113-127.
- Morris, C. & Evans, N. (2004) Agricultural turns, geographical turns: retrospect and prospect. *Journal Of Rural Studies*, **20**, 95-111.
- Morris, C. & Potter, C. (1995) Recruiting The New Conservationists - Farmers Adoption Of Agri-Environmental Schemes In The Uk. *Journal Of Rural Studies*, **11**, 51-63.
- Mulder, C., Wouterse, M., Raubuch, M., Roelofs, W., & Rutgers, M. (2006) Can transgenic maize affect soil microbial communities? *Plos Computational Biology*, **2**, 1165-1172.
- Neal, C., Neal, M., Reynolds, B., Maberly, S.C., May, L., Ferrier, R.C., Smith, J., & Parker, J.E. (2005) Silicon concentrations in UK surface waters. *Journal Of Hydrology*, **304**, 75-93.
- Newson, M.D. & Large, A.R.G. (2006) 'Natural' rivers, 'hydromorphological quality' and river restoration: a challenging new agenda for applied fluvial geomorphology. *Earth Surface Processes And Landforms*, **31**, 1606-1624.
- Newton, A.C., Cornelius, J.P., Baker, P., Gillies, A.C.M., Hernandez, M., Ramnarine, S., Mesen, J.F., & Watt, A.D. (1996) Mahogany as a genetic resource. *Botanical Journal Of The Linnean Society*, **122**, 61-73.
- Newton, A.C., Leakey, R.R.B., & Mesen, J.F. (1993) Genetic-Variation In Mahoganies - Its Importance, Capture And Utilization. *Biodiversity And Conservation*, **2**, 114-126.
- Ollivier, L., Alderson, L., Gandini, G.C., Foulley, J.L., Haley, C.S., Joosten, R., Rattink, A.P., Harlizius, B., Groenen, M.A.M., Amigues, Y., Boscher, M.Y., Russell, G., Law, A., Davoli, R., Russo, V., Matassino, D., Desautes, C., Fimland, E., Bagga, M., Delgado, J.V., Vega-Pla, J.L., Martinez, A.M., Ramos, A.M., Glodek, P., Meyer, J.N., Plastow, G.S., Siggens, K.W.,

- Archibald, A.L., Milan, D., San Cristobal, M., Laval, G., Hammond, K., Cardellino, R., & Chevalet, C. (2005) An assessment of European pig diversity using molecular markers: Partitioning of diversity among breeds. *Conservation Genetics*, **6**, 729-741.
- Oreszczyń, S. & Lane, A. (2000) The meaning of hedgerows in the English landscape: Different stakeholder perspectives and the implications for future hedge management. *Journal Of Environmental Management*, **60**, 101-118.
- Ouin, A., Aviron, S., Dover, J., & Burel, F. (2004) Complementation/supplementation of resources for butterflies in agricultural landscapes. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment*, **103**, 473-479.
- Paton, G.I., Killham, K., Weitz, H.J., & Semple, K.T. (2005) Biological tools for the assessment of contaminated land: applied soil ecotoxicology. *Soil Use And Management*, **21**, 487-499.
- Petit, S. & Elbersen, B. (2006) Assessing the risk of impact of farming intensification on calcareous grasslands in Europe: A quantitative implementation of the MIRABEL framework. *Ambio*, **35**, 297-303.
- Phoenix, G.K., Hicks, W.K., Cinderby, S., Kuylenstierna, J.C.I., Stock, W.D., Dentener, F.J., Giller, K.E., Austin, A.T., Lefroy, R.D.B., Gimeno, B.S., Ashmore, M.R., & Ineson, P. (2006) Atmospheric nitrogen deposition in world biodiversity hotspots: the need for a greater global perspective in assessing N deposition impacts. *Global Change Biology*, **12**, 470-476.
- Pidgeon, J.D., Dewar, A.M., & May, M.J. (2004) Can GMHT beet contribute to sustainable crop production in Europe? *International Sugar Journal*, **106**, 542-+.
- Pretty, J. & Smith, D. (2004) Social capital in biodiversity conservation and management. *Conservation Biology*, **18**, 631-638.
- Pywell, R.F., James, K.L., Herbert, I., Meek, W.R., Carvell, C., Bell, D., & Sparks, T.H. (2005a) Determinants of overwintering habitat quality for beetles and spiders on arable farmland. *Biological Conservation*, **123**, 79-90.
- Pywell, R.F., Warman, E.A., Carvell, C., Sparks, T.H., Dicks, L.V., Bennett, D., Wright, A., Critchley, C.N.R., & Sherwood, A. (2005b) Providing foraging resources for bumblebees in intensively farmed landscapes. *Biological Conservation*, **121**, 479-494.
- Pywell, R.F., Warman, E.A., Hulmes, L., Hulmes, S., Nuttall, P., Sparks, T.H., Critchley, C.N.R., & Sherwood, A. (2006) Effectiveness of new agri-environment schemes in providing foraging resources for bumblebees in intensively farmed landscapes. *Biological Conservation*, **129**, 192-206.
- Pywell, R.F., Warman, E.A., Sparks, T.H., Greatorex-Davies, J.N., Walker, K.J., Meek, W.R., Carvell, C., Petit, S., & Firbank, L.G. (2004) Assessing habitat quality for butterflies on intensively managed arable farmland. *Biological Conservation*, **118**, 313-325.
- Redpath, S.A., Arroyo, B.E., Leckie, E.M., Bacon, P., Bayfield, N., Gutierrez, R.J., & Thirgood, S.J. (2004) Using decision modeling with stakeholders to reduce human-wildlife conflict: a Raptor-Grouse case study. *Conservation Biology*, **18**, 350-359.
- Rees, H.L., Boyd, S.E., Schratzberger, M., & Murray, L.A. (2006) Role of benthic indicators in regulating human activities at sea. *Environmental Science & Policy*, **9**, 496-508.
- Rickard, S. (2004) CAP reform, competitiveness and sustainability. *Journal Of The Science Of Food And Agriculture*, **84**, 745-756.

- Riley, M. (2006) Reconsidering conceptualisations of farm conservation activity: The case of conserving hay meadows. *Journal Of Rural Studies*, **22**, 337-353.
- Roberts, P.H. & Thomas, K.V. (2006) The occurrence of selected pharmaceuticals in wastewater effluent and surface waters of the lower Tyne catchment. *Science Of The Total Environment*, **356**, 143-153.
- Robertson, P.A., Park, K.J., & Barton, A.F. (2001) Loss of heather *Calluna vulgaris* moorland in the Scottish uplands: the role of red grouse *Lagopus lagopus scoticus* management. *Wildlife Biology*, **7**, 11-16.
- Robinson, G.M. (2006) Canada's environmental farm plans: transatlantic perspectives on agri-environmental schemes. *Geographical Journal*, **172**, 206-218.
- Rogers, S.I. & Greenaway, B. (2005) A UK perspective on the development of marine ecosystem indicators. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, **50**, 9-19.
- Sansom, A.L. (1999) Upland vegetation management: The impacts of overstocking. *Water Science And Technology*, **39**, 85-92.
- Scullion, J., Elliott, G.N., Huang, W.E., Goodacre, R., Worgan, H., Darby, R., Bailey, M.J., Gwynn-Jones, D., Griffith, G.W., Winson, M.K., Williams, P.A., Clegg, C., & Draper, J. (2003) Use of earthworm casts to validate FT-IR spectroscopy as a 'sentinel' technology for high-throughput monitoring of global changes in microbial ecology. *Pedobiologia*, **47**, 440-446.
- Semple, K.T., Morriss, A.W.J., & Paton, G.I. (2003) Bioavailability of hydrophobic organic contaminants in soils: fundamental concepts and techniques for analysis. *European Journal Of Soil Science*, **54**, 809-818.
- Sharpe, B. (1998) 'First the forest': Conservation, 'community' and 'participation' in southwest Cameroon. *Africa*, **68**, 25-45.
- Siebert, R., Toogood, M., & Knierim, A. (2006) Factors affecting European farmers' participation in biodiversity policies. *Sociologia Ruralis*, **46**, 318-340.
- Skerratt, S. & Dent, J.B. (1996) The challenge of agri-environmental subsidies: The case of Breadalbane Environmentally Sensitive Area, Scotland. *Scottish Geographical Magazine*, **112**, 92-100.
- Smith, R., Pollard, S.J.T., Weeks, J.M., & Nathanail, C.P. (2005) Assessing significant harm to terrestrial ecosystems from contaminated land. *Soil Use And Management*, **21**, 527-540.
- Snell, T. & Cowell, R. (2006) Scoping in environmental impact assessment: Balancing precaution and efficiency? *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, **26**, 359-376.
- Sousa, J.P., Bolger, T., da Gama, M.M., Lukkari, T., Ponge, J.F., Simon, C., Traser, G., Vanbergen, A.J., Brennan, A., Dubs, F., Ivtis, E., Keating, A., Stofer, S., & Watt, A.D. (2006) Changes in Collembola richness and diversity along a gradient of land-use intensity: A pan European study. *Pedobiologia*, **50**, 147-156.
- Southwood, T.R.E., Henderson, P.A., & Woiwod, I.P. (2003) Stability and change over 67 years - the community of Heteroptera as caught in a light-trap at Rothamsted, UK. *European Journal Of Entomology*, **100**, 557-561.
- Stevens, D.K. & Bradbury, R.B. (2006) Effects of the Arable Stewardship Pilot Scheme on breeding birds at field and farm-scales. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment*, **112**, 283-290.
- Stewart, A.J.A. (2001) The impact of deer on lowland woodland invertebrates: a review of the evidence and priorities for future research. *Forestry*, **74**, 259-270.

- Stoate, C. (2002) Multifunctional use of a natural resource on farmland: wild pheasant (*Phasianus colchicus*) management and the conservation of farmland passerines. *Biodiversity And Conservation*, **11**, 561-573.
- Stork, N.E., Srivastava, D.S., Watt, A.D., & Larsen, T.B. (2003) Butterfly diversity and silvicultural practice in lowland rainforests of Cameroon. *Biodiversity And Conservation*, **12**, 387-410.
- Tallowin, J.R.B., Rook, A.J., & Rutter, S.M. (2005) Impact of grazing management on biodiversity of grasslands. *Animal Science*, **81**, 193-198.
- Tandy, S., Barbosa, V., Tye, A., Preston, S., Paton, G., Zhang, H., & McGrath, S. (2005) Comparison of different microbial bioassays to assess metal-contaminated soils. *Environmental Toxicology And Chemistry*, **24**, 530-536.
- Thompson, S., Larcom, A., & Lee, J.T. (1999) Restoring and enhancing rare and threatened habitats under agri-environment agreements: a case study of the Chiltern Hills Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, UK. *Land Use Policy*, **16**, 93-105.
- Thompson, S., Treweek, J.R., & Thurling, D.J. (1995) The Potential Application Of Strategic Environmental Assessment (Sea) To The Farming Of Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo-Salar L*) In Mainland. *Journal Of Environmental Management*, **45**, 219-229.
- Tilzey, M. (2000) Natural Areas, the whole countryside approach and sustainable agriculture. *Land Use Policy*, **17**, 279-294.
- Treweek, J.R., Hankard, P., Roy, D.B., Arnold, H., & Thompson, S. (1998) Scope for strategic ecological assessment of trunk-road development in England with respect to potential impacts on lowland heathland, the Dartford warbler (*Sylvia undata*) and the sand lizard (*Lacerta agilis*). *Journal Of Environmental Management*, **53**, 147-163.
- Truscott, A.M., Mitchell, R.J., Palmer, S.C.F., & Welch, D. (2004) The expansion of native oakwoods into conifer cleared areas through planting. *Forest Ecology And Management*, **193**, 335-343.
- Turner, R.K., Paavola, J., Cooper, P., Farber, S., Jessamy, V., & Georgiou, S. (2003) Valuing nature: lessons learned and future research directions. *Ecological Economics*, **46**, 493-510.
- Twyman, C. (2000) Participatory conservation? Community-based natural resource management in Botswana. *Geographical Journal*, **166**, 323-335.
- van der Wal, R., Pearce, I., Brooker, R., Scott, D., Welch, D., & Woodin, S. (2003) Interplay between nitrogen deposition and grazing causes habitat degradation. *Ecology Letters*, **6**, 141-146.
- Velando, A., Alvarez, D., Mourino, J., Arcos, F., & Barros, A. (2005) Population trends and reproductive success of the European shag *Phalacrocorax aristotelis* on the Iberian Peninsula following the Prestige oil spill. *Journal Of Ornithology*, **146**, 116-120.
- Vickery, J.A., Bradbury, R.B., Henderson, I.G., Eaton, M.A., & Grice, P.V. (2004) The role of agri-environment schemes and farm management practices in reversing the decline of farmland birds in England. *Biological Conservation*, **119**, 19-39.
- Wade, A.J., Whitehead, P.G., & O'Shea, L.C.M. (2002) The prediction and management of aquatic nitrogen pollution across Europe: an introduction to the Integrated Nitrogen in European Catchments project (INCA). *Hydrology And Earth System Sciences*, **6**, 299-313.

- Watt, A.D., Stork, N.E., & Bolton, B. (2002) The diversity and abundance of ants in relation to forest disturbance and plantation establishment in southern Cameroon. *Journal Of Applied Ecology*, **39**, 18-30.
- Weeks, J.M., Spurgeon, D.J., Svendsen, C., Hankard, P.K., Kammenga, J.E., Dallinger, R., Kohler, H.R., Simonsen, V., & Scott-Fordsmand, J. (2004) Critical analysis of soil invertebrate biomarkers: A field case study in Avonmouth, UK. *Ecotoxicology*, **13**, 817-822.
- Whittingham, M.J. (2007) Will agri-environment schemes deliver substantial biodiversity gain, and if not why not? *Journal Of Applied Ecology*, **44**, 1-5.
- Whittingham, M.J., Devereux, C.L., Evans, A.D., & Bradbury, R.B. (2006) Altering perceived predation risk and food availability: management prescriptions to benefit farmland birds on stubble fields. *Journal Of Applied Ecology*, **43**, 640-650.
- Wiggins, S., Marfo, K., & Anchirinah, V. (2004) Protecting the forest or the people? Environmental policies and livelihoods in the forest margins of southern Ghana. *World Development*, **32**, 1939-1955.
- Wilcox, A. (1998) Early plant succession on former arable land. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment*, **69**, 143-157.
- Wilson, G.A. & Hart, K. (2000) Financial imperative or conservation concern? EU farmers' motivations for participation in voluntary agri-environmental schemes. *Environment And Planning A*, **32**, 2161-2185.
- Wilson, G.A. & Hart, K. (2001) Farmer participation in agri-environmental schemes: Towards conservation-oriented thinking? *Sociologia Ruralis*, **41**, 254-+.
- Wilson, J.D., Evans, J., Browne, S.J., & King, J.R. (1997) Territory distribution and breeding success of skylarks *Alauda arvensis* on organic and intensive farmland in southern England. *Journal Of Applied Ecology*, **34**, 1462-1478.
- Wilson, J.D., Morris, A.J., Arroyo, B.E., Clark, S.C., & Bradbury, R.B. (1999) A review of the abundance and diversity of invertebrate and plant foods of granivorous birds in northern Europe in relation to agricultural change. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment*, **75**, 13-30.
- Winter, M. (2000) Strong policy or weak policy? The environmental impact of the 1992 reforms to the CAP arable regime in Great Britain. *Journal Of Rural Studies*, **16**, 47-59.
- Wood, D. & Lenne, J.M. (1997) The conservation of agrobiodiversity on-farm: Questioning the emerging paradigm. *Biodiversity And Conservation*, **6**, 109-129.
- Wood, D. & Lenne, J.M. (2005) 'Received Wisdom' in agricultural land use policy: 10 years on from Rio. *Land Use Policy*, **22**, 75-93.
- Wood, J. (2005) 'How green is my valley?' Desktop geographic information systems as a community-based participatory mapping tool. *Area*, **37**, 159-170.
- Woodcock, B.A., Potts, S.G., Pilgrim, E., Ramsay, A.J., Tscheulin, T., Parkinson, A., Smith, R.E.N., Gundrey, A.L., Brown, V.K., & Tallowin, J.R. (2007) The potential of grass field margin management for enhancing beetle diversity in intensive livestock farms. *Journal Of Applied Ecology*, **44**, 60-69.
- Woodcock, B.A., Pywell, R.F., Roy, D.B., Rose, R.J., & Bell, D. (2005) Grazing management of calcareous grasslands and its implications for the conservation of beetle communities. *Biological Conservation*, **125**, 193-202.
- Young, J., Watt, A., Nowicki, P., Alard, D., Clitherow, J., Henle, K., Johnson, R., Laczko, E., McCracken, D., Matouch, S., Niemela, J., & Richards, C. (2005) Towards sustainable land use: identifying and managing the conflicts between

human activities and biodiversity conservation in Europe. *Biodiversity And Conservation*, **14**, 1641-1661.

Zheng, Y.Q. & Ennos, R.A. (1999) Genetic variability and structure of natural and domesticated populations of Caribbean pine (*Pinus caribaea* Morelet). *Theoretical And Applied Genetics*, **98**, 765-771.